

SUMMARY OF FIVE YEAR CAPITAL & EXTRA-ORDINARY EXPENDITURES Machinery

		2015-20	19 Five Ye	ear Capital &	2015-2019 Five Year Capital & Extra-Ordinary Expenditures			
	2014			•				
DDO ITOT	Approved	2015	2046	2047	2040	2040	TOTAL	
PROJECT	Budget	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	TOTAL	
H-16 (15 6) (000 07	00.000							
Half-ton, dispose of V002-07	30,000							
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	(30,000)							
Half-ton, dispose of V016-07	30,000							
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	(30,000)							
	į							
One-ton, dispose of V101-05	55,000							
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	(55,000)							
	· · · · · ·							
Single-axle, dispose of V109-05	55,000							
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	(55,000)							
- 10	(00,000)							
Brush Chipper, dispose of V932-99	50,000							
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserves								
Trom Reserve - 13 Equipment Reserves	(50,000)							
Half-ton, dispose of V012-08		27,500					27,500	
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve Other - Sale of assets	į	(26,000) (1,500)					(26,000) (1,500)	
Carlo Cr docord		(1,000)					(1,000)	
Half-ton, dispose of V018-08	į	27,500					27,500	
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve Other - Sale of assets	į	(26,000) (1,500)					(26,000) (1,500)	
		(' '					` '	
Single-axle, dispose of V104-03	į	56,000					56,000	
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve		(40,700)					(40,700)	
Other - Sale of assets	į	(40,700)					(40,700) (15,300)	
Tractor with Loader, Mower, Sweeper,								
dispose of V603-04	į	92,000					92,000	

	<u> </u>	2015-2019 Five Year Capital & Extra-Ordinary Expenditures					ditures
	2014 Approved						
PROJECT	Budget	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	TOTAL
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve		(82,800)					(82,800)
Other - Sale of assets		(9,200)					(9,200)
	<u> </u>						
One-ton, dispose of V105-05		56,000					56,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve		(40,700)					(40,700)
Other - Sale of assets		(15,300)					(15,300)
Officer Care of associa		(10,000)					(10,000)
One-ton, dispose of V106-05		56,000					56,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve		(40,700)					(40,700)
Other - Sale of assets		(40,700)					(40,700)
J Jaio of accord		(10,000)					(13,300)
Loader Backhoe, dispose of V502-03			93,500				93,500
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve			(84,500)				(84,500)
Other - Sale of assets			(9,000)				(9,000)
			, ,				
Tractor with Loader, Mower, Sweeper, dispose of V601-06			93,500				93,500
alspose of 1001 of			33,300				55,500
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	<u> </u>		(84,500)				(84,500)
Other - Sale of assets	ļ į		(9,000)				(9,000)
Half-ton, dispose of V004-09			28,000				28,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve			(26,500)				(26,500)
Other - Sale of assets	<u> </u>		(1,500)				(1,500)
Half-ton, dispose of V011-10			28,000				28,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	ļ į		(26,500)				(26,500)
Other - Sale of assets			(26,500)				(26,500)
out of addition			(1,000)				(1,000)
Loader Backhoe, dispose of V501-04				95,000			95,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve				(74,000)			(74,000)
Other - Sale of assets				(21,000)			(21,000)
				(=1,000)			(=1,000)
Ontario Works 15 Passenger Van,							
dispose of V020-12				40,000			40,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserves				(36,000)			(36,000)
Other - Sale of assets	i i			(4,000)			(4,000)

		2015-2019 Five Year Capital & Extra-Ordinary Expenditures					
	2014						
PROJECT	Approved Budget	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	TOTAL
Half-ton, dispose of V010-10				28,500			28,500
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve Other - Sale of assets				(27,000) (1,500)			(27,000) (1,500)
Half-ton, dispose of V003-10				28,500			28,500
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve Other - Sale of assets				(27,000) (1,500)			(27,000) (1,500)
Bridge Crew Utility Vehicle, dispose of V112-07				12,500			12,500
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve Other - Sale of assets				(10,500) (2,000)			(10,500) (2,000)
Half-ton, dispose of V005-11					29,000		29,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserves Other - Sale of assets					(27,400) (1,600)		(27,400) (1,600)
Half-ton, dispose of V006-11 From Reserve - TS Equipment Funds Other - Sale of assets					29,000 (27,400) (1,600)		29,000 (27,400) (1,600)
Half-ton, dispose of V019-11					29,000		29,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve Other - Sale of assets					(27,400) (1,600)		(27,400) (1,600)
Tandem, dispose of V307-06					255,000		255,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve Other - Sale of assets					(205,000) (50,000)		(205,000) (50,000)
Tandem, dispose of V308-06					255,000		255,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve Other - Sale of assets					(205,000) (50,000)		(205,000) (50,000)
Tandem, dispose of V319-06					255,000		255,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve Other - Sale of assets					(205,000) (50,000)		(205,000) (50,000)

	İ	2015-2	2019 Five Ye	ear Capital 8	Extra-Ordina	ary Expend	itures
	2014 Approved						
PROJECT	Budget	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	TOTAL
						į	
Loader Backhoe, dispose of V506-06					97,000	į	97,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve					(75,000)		(75,000)
Other - Sale of assets					(22,000)		(22,000)
Loader Backhoe, dispose of V503-07					97,000		97,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve					(75,000)	į	(75,000)
Other - Sale of assets					(22,000)		(22,000)
Loader Backhoe, dispose of V507-05					97,000		97,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve					(75,000)		(75,000)
Other - Sale of assets					(22,000)	į	(22,000)
Loader Backhoe, dispose of V903-04 Wheel Loader					97,000		97,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve					(65,000)		(65,000)
Other - Sale of assets					(32,000)		(32,000)
Brush Chipper, dispose of V913-07					63,000		63,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserves					(55,500)	į	(55,500)
Other - Sale of assets					(7,500)		(7,500)
Gradall, dispose of V919-12					390,000		390,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserves					(282,000)		(282,000)
Other - Sale of assets					(108,000)		(108,000)
Half-ton, dispose of V008-12						30,000	30,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve						(28,400)	(28,400)
Other - Sale of assets						(1,600)	(1,600)
Half-ton, dispose of V009-12						30,000	30,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve						(28,400)	(28,400)
Other - Sale of assets						(1,600)	(1,600)
Half-ton, dispose of V017-12						30,000	30,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve						(28,400)	(28,400)

	İ	2015-2019 Five Year Capital & Extra-Ordinary Expenditures					itures
	2014			·			
DD 0 1507	Approved	0045	2042	2047	0040	0040	TOTAL
PROJECT Other - Sale of assets	Budget	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019 (1,600)	TOTAL (1,600)
Other - Jale of assets						(1,600)	(1,600)
Passenger vehicle, dispose of V030-						į	
13						24,000	24,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve						(22,000)	(22,000)
Other - Sale of assets						(2,000)	(2,000)
Tandem, dispose of V201-07						260,000	260,000
-							
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve Other - Sale of assets						(210,000)	(210,000)
Other - Sale of assets						(50,000)	(50,000)
						į	
Tandem, dispose of V202-07						260,000	260,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve						(210,000)	(210,000)
Other - Sale of assets						(50,000)	(50,000)
Tandem, dispose of triaxle V301-10						260,000	260,000
-							
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve						(216,000)	(216,000)
Other - Sale of assets						(44,000)	(44,000)
						į	
Tandem, dispose of triaxle V304-10						260,000	260,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve						(216,000)	(216,000)
Other - Sale of assets						(44,000)	(44,000)
Tandem, dispose of triaxle V310-08						260,000	260,000
						200,000	200,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve						(216,000)	(216,000)
Other - Sale of assets						(44,000)	(44,000)
Tractor with Loader, Mower, Sweeper,						į	
dispose of V604-07						99,000	99,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve						(89,000)	(89,000)
Other - Sale of assets						(10,000)	(10,000)
Loader Backhoe, dispose of V917-09 Wheel Loader						99,000	99,000
Trice: Loudei						33,000	33,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve						(55,000)	(55,000)
Other - Sale of assets						(44,000)	(44,000)
Ontario Works 15 Passenger Van,							
dispose of V021-13						41,000	41,000

		2015-20	19 Five Yea	r Capital & l	Extra-Ordin	ary Expend	litures
PROJECT	2014 Approved Budget	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	TOTAL
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve Other - Sale of assets						(37,000) (4,000)	, ,
Non-Licensed Equipment	50,000	51,000	52,000	53,000	54,000	55,000	265,000
From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	(50,000)	(51,000)	(52,000)	(53,000)	(54,000)	(55,000)	(265,000)
Transportation Equipment Reserve Funding To Reserve - Equipment Reserve	950,000	660,000	660,000	660,000	660,000	660,000	3,300,000
OW Van Replacement Reserve Funding							
To Reserve - OW Van Replacement Reserve	20,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	75,000
NET LEVY REQUIREMENTS	970,000	675,000	675,000	675,000	675,000	675,000	3,375,000



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2015

Details of Project/Study: Half-ton, dispose of V012-08

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$27,500

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$27,500	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$27,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$27,500
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 7 YEARS

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
N/A	

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system, reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$30,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$30,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet, and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point, actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly-not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$26,000	\$1,500
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$26,000	\$1,500



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2015

Details of Project/Study: Half-ton, dispose of V018-08

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$27,500

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$27,500	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$27,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$27,500
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 7 Years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
-------------------------	--------------

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system, reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$30,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$30,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet, and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point, actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly-not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$26,000	\$1,500
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$26,000	\$1,500



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2015

Details of Project/Study: Single-axle, dispose of V104-03

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$56,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$56,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$56,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$56,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 12 YEARS

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
N/A	

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system, reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$55,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$55,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet, and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point, actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly-not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$40,700	\$15,300
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$40,700	\$15,300



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2015

Details of Project/Study: Tractor with Loader, Mower, Sweeper,

dispose of V603-04

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$92.000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$92,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$92,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$92,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 10 Years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality	
NA		

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system, reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$80,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$80,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet, and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point, actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly-not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$82,800	\$9,200
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$82,800	\$9,200



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2015

Details of Project/Study: One-ton, dispose of V105-05

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$56,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$56,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$56,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$56,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 12 YEARS

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality	
N/A		

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system, reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$55,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$55,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet, and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point, actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly-not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$40,700	\$15,300
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$40,700	\$15,300



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2015

Details of Project/Study: One-ton, dispose of V106-05

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$56,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$56,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$56,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$56,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 12 YEARS

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality	
N/A		

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system, reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$55,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$55,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet, and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point, actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly-not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$40,700	\$15,300
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$40,700	\$15,300



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2016
Details of Project/Study: Loader Backhoe, dispose of V502-03

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$93,500

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$93,500	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$93,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$93,500
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 10 years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
-------------------------	--------------

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$90,000	\$0	\$90,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$84,500	\$9,000
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$84,500	\$9,000



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2016

Details of Project/Study: <u>Tractor with Loader, Mower, Sweeper,</u> dispose of V601-06

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$93,500

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$93,500	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2045	2046	2047	2040	2040	Tatal
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$93,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$93,500
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 12 years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address Municipality	
--------------------------------------	--

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$80,000	\$0	\$0	\$80,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):
As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$84,500	\$9,000
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$84,500	\$9,000



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2016

Details of Project/Study: Half-ton, dispose of V004-09

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$28,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$28,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$28,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$28,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 10

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality		
N/A			

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$0	\$0	\$30,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$26,500	\$1,500
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$26,500	\$1,500



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2016

Details of Project/Study: Half-ton, dispose of V011-10

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$28,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$28,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$28,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$28,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 7

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality		
N/A			

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$0	\$0	\$30,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$26,500	\$1,500
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$26,500	\$1,500



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2017
Details of Project/Study: Loader Backhoe, dispose of V501-04

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$95,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$95,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$95,000	\$0	\$0	\$95,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 10 years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality		
N/A			

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$90,000	\$0	\$0	\$90,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$74,000	\$21,000
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$74,000	\$21,000



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2017

Details of Project/Study: Ontario Works 15 Passenger Van,

dispose of V020-12

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$40,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$40,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$40,000	\$0	\$0	\$40,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 6 years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address Municipality	
--------------------------------------	--

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$40,000	\$40,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):
As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserves	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$36,000	\$4,000
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$36,000	\$4,000



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2017

Details of Project/Study: Half-ton, dispose of V010-10

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$28,500

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$28,500	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$28,500	\$0	\$0	\$28,500
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 7 years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality	
N/A		

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$0	\$30,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$27,000	\$1,500
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$27,000	\$1,500



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2017

Details of Project/Study: Half-ton, dispose of V003-10

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$28,500

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$28,500	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$28,500	\$0	\$0	\$28,500
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 7 years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
N/A	

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$0	\$30,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$27,000	\$1,500
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$27,000	\$1,500



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2017

Details of Project/Study: Bridge Crew Utility Vehicle, dispose of V112-07

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$12,500

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$12,500	

The vehicle purchased in 2014 was ambulance 07-1281. The purchase price was \$12,000.

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$12,500	\$0	\$0	\$12,500
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 3 years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The bridge crew requires this vehicle to perform its work

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

The bridge crew requires this vehicle to perform its work

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$10,500	\$2,000
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$10,500	\$2,000

- 9. Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):
- 10. Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2018

Details of Project/Study: Half-ton, dispose of V005-11

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$29,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$29,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$29,000	\$0	\$29,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 7 years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
-------------------------	--------------

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$30,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserves	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$27,400	\$1,600
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$27,400	\$1,600



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2018

Details of Project/Study: Half-ton, dispose of V006-11

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$29,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$29,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$29,000	\$0	\$29,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 7 years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
-------------------------	--------------

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$30,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Funds	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$27,400	\$1,600
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$27,400	\$1,600



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2018

Details of Project/Study: Half-ton, dispose of V019-11

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$29,000

Construction	onstruction Consultant/Contractor		Other (Specify)
		\$29,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$29,000	\$0	\$29,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 7 years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
-------------------------	--------------

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$27,400	\$1,600
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$27,400	\$1,600

- 9. Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):
- 10. Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2018

Details of Project/Study: Tandem, dispose of V307-06

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$255,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$255,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$255,000	\$0	\$255,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 12 YEARS

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality		
N/A			

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system, reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$0	\$250,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet, and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point, actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly-not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$205,000	\$50,000
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$205,000	\$50,000



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2018

Details of Project/Study: Tandem, dispose of V308-06

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$255,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$255,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$255,000	\$0	\$255,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 12 YEARS

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
-------------------------	--------------

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system, reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$0	\$250,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet, and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point, actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly-not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$205,000	\$50,000
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$205,000	\$50,000



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2018

Details of Project/Study: Tandem, dispose of V319-06

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$255,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$255,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$255,000	\$0	\$255,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 12 YEARS

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality		
N/A			

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system, reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$0	\$250,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet, and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point, actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly-not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$205,000	\$50,000
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$205,000	\$50,000



1 Department / Function: Machinery - 2018

Details of Project/Study: Loader Backhoe, dispose of V506-06

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$97,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$97,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$97,000	\$0	\$97,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 10 Years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
NA	

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system, reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$90,000	\$90,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet, and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point, actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly-not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$75,000	\$22,000
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$75,000	\$22,000



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2018
Details of Project/Study: Loader Backhoe, dispose of V503-07

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$97,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$97,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$97,000	\$0	\$97,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 10 years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
-------------------------	--------------

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$75,000	\$22,000
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$75,000	\$22,000

- 9. Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):
- 10. Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2018

Details of Project/Study: Loader Backhoe, dispose of V507-05

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$97,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$97,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$97,000	\$0	\$97,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 10 YEARS

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
N/A	

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system, reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$90,000	\$90,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet, and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point, actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly-not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$75,000	\$22,000
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$75,000	\$22,000



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2018

Details of Project/Study: Loader Backhoe, dispose of V903-04

Wheel Loader

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$97,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$97,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$97,000	\$0	\$97,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 10 Years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality	
NA		

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system, reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$90,000	\$90,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet, and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point, actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly-not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$65,000	\$32,000
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$65,000	\$32,000



1 Department / Function: Machinery - 2018

Details of Project/Study: Brush Chipper, dispose of V913-07

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$63,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$63,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$63,000	\$0	\$63,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 10 years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
-------------------------	--------------

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$65,000	\$65,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserves	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$55,500	\$7,500
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$55,500	\$7,500



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2018

Details of Project/Study: Gradall, dispose of V919-12

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$390,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$390,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$390,000	\$0	\$390,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 6 years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
-------------------------	--------------

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$400,000	\$400,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserves	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$282,000	\$108,000
2019	\$0	\$0
Total	\$282,000	\$108,000



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2019

Details of Project/Study: Half-ton, dispose of V008-12

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$30,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$30,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$30,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 7 years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
-------------------------	--------------

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$28,400	\$1,600
Total	\$28,400	\$1,600

- 9. Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):
- 10. Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2019

Details of Project/Study: Half-ton, dispose of V009-12

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$30,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$30,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$30,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 7 years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
-------------------------	--------------

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$28,400	\$1,600
Total	\$28,400	\$1,600

- 9. Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):
- 10. Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2019

Details of Project/Study: Half-ton, dispose of V017-12

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$30,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$30,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$30,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 7 years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
-------------------------	--------------

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$28,400	\$1,600
Total	\$28,400	\$1,600

- 9. Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):
- 10. Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):



Department / Function: Machinery - 2019
Details of Project/Study: <u>Passenger vehicle</u>, <u>dispose of V030-13</u>

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$24,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$24,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$24,000	\$24,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 6 years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
-------------------------	--------------

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$22,000	\$2,000
Total	\$22,000	\$2,000

- 9. Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):
- 10. Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2019

Details of Project/Study: Tandem, dispose of V201-07

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$260,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$260,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$260,000	\$260,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 12 years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
N/A	

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$210,000	\$50,000
Total	\$210,000	\$50,000



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2019

Details of Project/Study: Tandem, dispose of V202-07

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$260,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$260,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$260,000	\$260,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 12 years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality	
N/A		

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$210,000	\$50,000
Total	\$210,000	\$50,000



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2019
Details of Project/Study: <u>Tandem, dispose of triaxle V301-10</u>

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$260,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$260,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$260,000	\$260,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 12 years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
-------------------------	--------------

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$216,000	\$44,000
Total	\$216,000	\$44,000

- 9. Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):
- 10. Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):



Department / Function: Machinery - 2019
 Details of Project/Study: <u>Tandem, dispose of triaxle V304-10</u>

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$260,000

Construction	onstruction Consultant/Contractor		Other (Specify)
		\$260,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$260,000	\$260,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 12 Years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
-------------------------	--------------

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$216,000	\$44,000
Total	\$216,000	\$44,000

- 9. Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):
- 10. Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):



1 Department / Function: Machinery - 2019

Details of Project/Study: Tandem, dispose of triaxle V310-08

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$260,000

Construction	onstruction Consultant/Contractor		Other (Specify)
		\$260,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$260,000	\$260,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 12 years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
N/A	

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$216,000	\$44,000
Total	\$216,000	\$44,000

9. Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):
As indicated above, County Council approved the 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations
Management Practice Manual, thereby supporting vehicle replacement criteria utilized for the
TAPS fleet.

10. Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2019

Details of Project/Study: <u>Tractor with Loader, Mower, Sweeper,</u> dispose of V604-07

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$99,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$99,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	· · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ ·					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$99,000	\$99,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 12 years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
racinty Name / Address	Municipanty

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):
As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	2015 \$0	
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$89,000	\$10,000
Total	\$89,000	\$10,000

- 9. Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):
- 10. Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2019

Details of Project/Study: Loader Backhoe, dispose of V917-09

Wheel Loader

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$99,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$99,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	· · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ ·					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$99,000	\$99,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 10 years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
-------------------------	--------------

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):
As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be

increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	017 \$0 \$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$55,000	\$44,000
Total	\$55,000	\$44,000

- 9. Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):
- 10. Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 2019

Details of Project/Study: Ontario Works 15 Passenger Van,

dispose of V021-13

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$41,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$41,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	· · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ ·					
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$41,000	\$41,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life: 6 years

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address Municipality	
--------------------------------------	--

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The replacement of this equipment was determined by using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, a weighted point system reviewing repair costs, useful life and vehicle condition as indicated in the County Council approved 2005 Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):
As indicated in the Equipment, Fleet and Shop Operations Management Practice Manual, using the Economic Theory of Vehicle Replacement, deferring the replacement of vehicles and equipment beyond a certain point actually causes total vehicle costs to rise, making a fleet more costly, not cheaper to own and operate. In this case, the consequence would be

8. Identify Sources and Amounts of Funding

increased repair costs and downtime.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve	Other (Specify) - Sale of assets
2015	\$0	\$0
2016	\$0	\$0
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$37,000	\$4,000
Total	\$37,000	\$4,000

- 9. Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):
- 10. Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):



1. Department / Function: Machinery -

Details of Project/Study: Non-Licensed Equipment

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$0

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)			
0 4 6 5 10 14 15 14 10 1 1 10 15 10 10 15						

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$51,000	\$52,000	\$53,000	\$54,000	\$55,000	\$265,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life: Various

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

Funds to replace miscellaneous non-licensed equipment. Items such as chain saws, salt management equipment, etc.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan:

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$85,000	\$85,000	\$85,000	\$85,000	\$85,000	\$425,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):
Equipment will reach the end of their estimated life cycle. It is economically time to replace these items due to the amount of useage and wear. If not replaced increased maintenance and possible down time will occur.

	From Reserve - TS Equipment Reserve		
2015	\$51,000		
2016	\$52,000		
2017	\$53,000		
2018	\$54,000		
2019	\$55,000		
Total	\$265,000		

- 9. Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):
- 10. Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 0

Details of Project/Study: <u>Transportation Equipment Reserve</u>

Funding

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$0

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)		
Annual contribution to reserve in order to fund equipment replacement purchases					

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$660,000	\$660,000	\$660,000	\$660,000	\$660,000	\$3,300,000
Net	\$660,000	\$660,000	\$660,000	\$660,000	\$660,000	\$3,300,000

3. Estimated Useful Life: N/A

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

19	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	Facility Name / Address	Municipality

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

Funding required in order to fund replacement of equipment and machinery based on life-cycle replacement schedules.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan: \$1,100,000

ı		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
I	Gross	\$950,000	\$950,000	\$950,000	\$950,000	\$950,000	\$4,750,000
	Net	\$950,000	\$950,000	\$950,000	\$950,000	\$950,000	\$4,750,000

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

Reserves will not have sufficient funds to fund replacement of equipment as per replacement life-cycles. Additional equipment repair costs could be incurred, etc.

	To Reserve - Equipment Reserve		
2015	\$660,000		
2016	\$660,000		
2017	\$660,000		
2018	\$660,000		
2019	\$660,000		
Total	\$3,300,000		

- 9. Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):
- 10. Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):



1. Department / Function: Machinery - 0

Details of Project/Study: **OW Van Replacement Reserve Funding**

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$0

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)		
Annual contribution to reserve in order to fund Ontario Works Van replacement purchases.					

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2015-2019 Program:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Gross	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$75,000
Net	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$75,000

- 3. Estimated Useful Life: As per lifecycle replacement schedules for OW Vans
- 4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
Facility Name / Address	Municipality

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

Funding required in order to fund replacement of Ontario Works Vans based on lifecycle replacement schedules.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2014-2018 Capital Plan: \$20,000 annually

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Gross	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$100,000
Net	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$100,000

- 7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):
 Reserves will not have sufficient funds to fund replacement of OW Vans as per lifecylce replacement schedules. Additional repairs costs could be incurred, etc.
- 8. Identify Sources and Amounts of Funding

	To Reserve - OW Van		
	Replacement Reserve		
2015	\$15,000		
2016	\$15,000		
2017	\$15,000		
2018	\$15,000		
2019	\$15,000		
Total	\$75,000		

- 9. Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):
- 10. Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):