

1. **Department / Function: Planning & Development**

Details of Project/Study: **Development Charges - 5 Year Review**

2. **Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$50,000**

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
	\$50,000		

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2017-2021 Program:

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$50,000	\$50,000
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,500	\$3,500

3. **Estimated Useful Life:** Development Charges By-law needs to be reviewed every five years.

4. **Location of Project/Study (if applicable):**

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
County of Grey	

5. **Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):**

The Development Charges By-law needs to be reviewed every five years in accordance with the Development Charges Act. The current Development Charges By-law is set to expire in January 2017 and therefore the proposal is to begin the Development Charges Background Study in 2016 to update the By-law before it expires. The next five year update of the development charges would occur in 2021. Development charges allow municipalities in Ontario to recover growth-related capital from new development. It is important to review the Development Charges to forecast all the necessary growth-related capital costs projects in order to collect the appropriate fees from new development.

6. **Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2016-2020 Capital Plan: N/A**

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Gross	\$45,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$45,000
Net	\$4,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,000

7. **Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):**

By not reviewing the development charges, the development charges by-law will expire and therefore future growth-related capital costs will be solely borne by the taxpayers instead of the costs being collected from new development. This will in turn result in property tax increases.

8. **Identify Sources and Amounts of Funding**

	From Reserve - Development Charges	From Reserve - General Planning	Taxation
2017	\$0	\$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0	\$0
2019	\$0	\$0	\$0
2020	\$0	\$0	\$0
2021	\$40,500	\$6,000	\$3,500

Total	\$40,500	\$6,000	\$3,500
--------------	-----------------	----------------	----------------

9. Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):

Action Item 6.5 of the County Strategic Plan indicates that the County should explore new or enhanced sources of revenue to offset services and program costs. Development charges are a source of revenue that can offset growth-related capital costs.

10. Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):

County staff will need to provide information to the consultants as part of the development charges review. If the development charges by-law is passed, it could be appealed and therefore would be subject to legal costs. Future development charge reviews will be funded by development charge fees. Following the completion of the 2016 development charges update, the next five year update to the development charges would be scheduled for 2021.

1. **Department / Function: Planning & Development**

Details of Project/Study: **Studies/Reports - Archaeological Master Plan**

2. **Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$150,000**

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
	\$150,000		

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2017-2021 Program:

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Total
Gross	\$156,400	\$9,000	\$9,000	\$9,000	\$5,000	\$188,400
Net	\$6,400	\$9,000	\$9,000	\$9,000	\$5,000	\$38,400

3. **Estimated Useful Life:**

4. **Location of Project/Study (if applicable):**

Facility Name / Address	Municipality

5. **Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):**

The archaeological potential of a piece of land must now be considered as part of the planning process under the Ontario Heritage Act, the Provincial Policy Statement (2005), and the Planning Act. Recent changes to the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005 and the Ontario Heritage Act mean that archaeology needs to be addressed in planning decisions. An Archaeological Master Plan is a document that provides an inventory and evaluation of known archaeological resources and identifies areas of archaeological resource potential. The County Official Plan indicates that the County may undertake an Archaeological Master Plan and that the County would consult with First Nations when developing the Terms of Reference for the study. By identifying areas of archaeological potential it provides property owners, developers and future buyers with information upfront to determine whether or not further archaeological assessments are required. An AMP will also reduce the risk of disturbing archaeological resources.

6. **Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2016-2020 Capital Plan:**

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Gross	\$10,000	\$160,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$185,000
Net	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$35,000

7. **Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):**

By not identifying areas of archaeological potential, development applications could be delayed as further archaeological investigations may be required later on in the process rather than knowing upfront what studies will be required. There would also be a greater potential that archaeological resources could be destroyed if it is not known where possible sites may exist.

8. **Identify Sources and Amounts of Funding**

	To Reserve - Arch. Master Plan	From Reserve - Arch. Master Plan	From Reserve - Development Charges	From Reserve - Planning Legal Reserve	From Reserve - Planning Studies
2017	\$6,400	\$46,400	\$91,125	\$10,000	\$2,475

2018	\$9,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2019	\$9,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2020	\$9,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2021	\$5,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$38,400	\$46,400	\$91,125	\$10,000	\$2,475

9. Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):

Action Item 2.10 of the County Strategic Plan indicates that the County should continue to manage and direct growth through the creation and application of sound land use planning principles. Action Item 3.5 identifies that the County should protect and conserve cultural heritage resources. An Archaeological Master Plan would assist the County in fulfilling the Strategic Plan action items identified above.

10. Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):

It is anticipated that 2/3rds of the costs for this study will be funded by development charges.

1. **Department / Function: Planning & Development**

Details of Project/Study: **Housing Data Update - Annual**

2. **Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$15,000**

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
	\$15,000		

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2017-2021 Program:

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Total
Gross	\$2,000	\$16,400	\$1,400	\$1,200	\$1,200	\$22,200
Net	\$2,000	\$1,400	\$1,400	\$1,200	\$1,200	\$7,200

3. **Estimated Useful Life:** Valuable and necessary background information and the basis for land use policy and decisions related to housing

4. **Location of Project/Study (if applicable):**

Facility Name / Address	Municipality

5. **Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):**

It is important to update the Housing Study data in order to keep policies current in the County Official Plan regarding matters related to housing and in order to meet provincial policy. By updating the housing data on an annual basis, current housing needs would be identified and policies and strategies can be revised to address the changing needs. Initially the thought would be to do an annual update to the Housing Study Data in order to ensure that the County is using the most current data. It is now recommended that the data be updated 2 years following the completion of each census. The next census is to take place in 2016 and therefore the data from the census will be available to use in 2018.

6. **Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2016-2020 Capital Plan:**

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Gross	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$25,000
Net	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$12,500

7. **Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):**

Without undertaking a Housing data updates, the policies contained in the County Official Plan will become dated and may not be reactive to the existing housing pressures/issues. Without accurate housing data County Council may provide funds for housing in non-critical areas rather than specifying that funding be provided to those areas in need.

8. **Identify Sources and Amounts of Funding**

	From Reserve - Development Charges	From Reserve - Planning Studies	To Reserve - Planning Studies	Taxation
2017	\$0	\$0	\$2,000	\$0
2018	\$9,113	\$5,887	\$1,400	\$0
2019	\$0	\$0	\$1,400	\$0
2020	\$0	\$0	\$1,200	\$0
2021	\$0	\$0	\$1,200	\$0

Total	\$9,113	\$5,887	\$7,200	\$0
--------------	----------------	----------------	----------------	------------

9. Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):

The County Strategic Operating Plan identifies affordable housing as one of the County's top 10 priorities. Action Item 2.4 of the County Strategic Plan indicates that County should support the creation of more affordable housing in all areas of the County through implementation of the Housing Strategy.

10. Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):

It is estimated that half of the housing data update is growth related and therefore half of the costs to update the data will be funded by development charges.

1. **Department / Function: Planning & Development**

Details of Project/Study: **Multi-Function Plotter/Scanner (MFP)**

2. **Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$20,000**

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
		\$20,000	

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2017-2021 Program:

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Total
Gross	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$24,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$40,000
Net	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$20,000

3. **Estimated Useful Life: 5 years**

4. **Location of Project/Study (if applicable):**

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
County Administration Building - Tech Area	

5. **Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):**

The current colour plotter was purchased in 2010. A major part failed in early 2014 and as such the plotter needs to be replaced earlier than anticipated. The large format scanner also needs to be replaced as it will no longer be supported once the new operating system is installed. On May 6th, Council passed a motion to replace the existing scanner and plotter with a Multi-Function Plotter combination unit which will be more efficient than the current set-up (see PDR-PCD-14-14). The MFP is estimated to be less than \$20,000 which will include a 5 year warranty and service plan. Given that the warranty will be for 5 years, the capital budget for the plotter has been adjusted anticipating that the MFP will need to be replaced in 5 years.

6. **Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2016-2020 Capital Plan:**

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Gross	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$24,000	\$4,000	\$40,000
Net	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$20,000

7. **Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):**

If a large format plotter/scanner is not available, the ability to produce large colour maps for County and local purposes will be impacted. The County also produces maps for outside agencies including municipalities, police and fire departments as well as members of the public. The inability to produce maps will result in a loss of revenue for the County. The plotter is also utilized by other Departments, in particular the Transportation Department on a material cost sharing basis.

8. **Identify Sources and Amounts of Funding**

	To Reserve - Plotter Replacement	From Reserve - Plotter Replacement
2017	\$4,000	\$0
2018	\$4,000	\$0
2019	\$4,000	\$20,000

2020	\$4,000	\$0
2021	\$4,000	\$0
Total	\$20,000	\$20,000

9. Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):

Although the equipment is housed within the Planning/TAPS technical area it is utilized by many Departments throughout the Corporation. It is part of our County business.

10. Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):

The equipment is linked through the County computer network. No additional impacts should be realized as this would merely be an equipment upgrade or replacement.

1. Department / Function: **Planning & Development**

Details of Project/Study: **Growth Management Study Update**

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$60,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
	\$60,000		

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2017-2021 Program:

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Total
Gross	\$3,500	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$61,700	\$2,200	\$70,400
Net	\$3,500	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,700	\$2,200	\$10,400

3. Estimated Useful Life:

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

The growth management study includes permanent population projections, household projections and employment growth projections and allocates the projected growth to the local municipalities. It is important to update this information using current census data to ensure that projection information is accurate and that projected growth is allocated appropriately. The growth projection information is used for a number of projects and studies conducted by the County including development charges background study, housing study, transportation planning, and is necessary to update policies in the County Official Plan.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2016-2020 Capital Plan:

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Gross	\$3,200	\$3,200	\$3,200	\$3,200	\$63,200	\$76,000
Net	\$3,200	\$3,200	\$3,200	\$3,200	\$3,200	\$16,000

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

By not having current growth data, studies that rely on accurate growth information will produce inaccurate results which can lead to decisions that are misinformed which could lead to legal challenges on the accuracy of the data/policies at the Ontario Municipal Board. It is critical to update the growth projection and allocation data to ensure that policies/studies are responsive.

8. Identify Sources and Amounts of Funding

	From Reserve - Development Charges	From Reserve - Growth Management Study Update Reserve	To Reserve - Growth Management Study Update Reserve	From Reserve - Planning General Reserve
2017	\$0	\$0	\$3,500	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0	\$1,500	\$0
2019	\$0	\$0	\$1,500	\$0
2020	\$48,600	\$11,400	\$1,700	\$0

2021	\$0	\$0	\$2,200	\$0
Total	\$48,600	\$11,400	\$10,400	\$0

9. Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):

Action Item 2.10 of the County Strategic Plan indicates that the County should continue to manage and direct growth through the creation and application of sound land use planning principles. Accurate growth projection/allocation information will ensure that Council has the necessary information to make informed decisions and to assist in achieving the above noted Strategic Plan Action Item.

10. Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):

Inaccurate growth data could lead to decisions that are misinformed which could lead to legal challenges on the accuracy of the data/policies at the Ontario Municipal Board. Growth information is used for a number of studies, including the calculation of development charges, and if the data is inaccurate it could lead to improper fees being collected or imbalanced budgets which could have a financial impact on the County. The majority of this study will be funded through development charges.

The Growth Management Study Update was completed in 2015. It is recommended that this Study be reviewed every 5 years and therefore the future recommended update is proposed to occur in 2020. The data and information from this study can be utilized in the next development charges update which is scheduled to occur in 2021.



**CORPORATION OF THE COUNTY OF GREY
2017-2021 CAPITAL PROJECT FORM**

1. **Department / Function:** **Planning & Development**
Details of Project/Study: **Transportation Master Plan Update**

2. **Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study:** \$0

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	------------------------

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2017-2021 Program:

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. **Estimated Useful Life:** The Transportation Master Plan would need to be updated every 5 years.

4. **Location of Project/Study (if applicable):**

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
--------------------------------	---------------------

5. **Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):**

The Transportation Master Plan has been completed and recommendations from the Transportation Master Plan are currently being implemented. It will likely take 3 to 5 years to implement all the recommendations from the Transportation Master Plan supported by Council. As such it is recommended that the Transportation Master Plan Update be put on hold for the time being. It is recommended that the County revisit the need for a Transportation Master Plan update following implementation on some of the recommendations in the current Transportation Master Plan.

6. **Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2016-2020 Capital Plan:**

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Gross	\$1,000	\$5,000	\$9,750	\$9,750	\$9,750	\$35,250
Net	\$1,000	\$5,000	\$9,750	\$9,750	\$9,750	\$35,250

7. **Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):**
 See above note.

8. **Identify Sources and Amounts of Funding**

	From Reserve - Development Charges	From Reserve - General Planning	From Reserve - Planning Legal	To Reserve - Planning Studies
2017	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2019	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2020	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2021	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

9. Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):

Action Item 4.3 of the County Strategic Plan recommends that the County develop a county-wide Transportation Master Plan that identifies capital priorities, embraces active transportation principles, and is innovative in its support of economic development and healthy community strategies.

10. Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):

See above note.

1. **Department / Function: Planning & Development**

Details of Project/Study: **Studies/Reports - Natural Heritage Study Update**

2. **Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$0**

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
--------------	-----------------------	-----------	-----------------

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2017-2021 Program:

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. **Estimated Useful Life:** Valuable and necessary background information and basis for land use policy.

4. **Location of Project/Study (if applicable):**

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
Planning Department for Official Plan	

5. **Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):**

The Provincial Policy Statement stresses the importance of maintaining, restoring and improving natural heritage systems and to recognize linkages between and among natural heritage features and areas, surface water features and groundwater features (Section 2.1.2).

The County is currently in the process of completing a Natural Heritage Study which is intended to identify the significant natural heritage features using a natural heritage systems approach. The County Official Plan identifies that the County may undertake a Natural Heritage Study with the assistance from local municipalities and/or interested partners (Section 2.8.6(8)). It is recommended that until the Natural Heritage Systems Study is completed, that any future planned updates to the Natural Heritage System be put on hold for the time being. It is likely that any future updates to the Natural Heritage Systems Study would only be completed when new data and information becomes available. Staff anticipate that these updates can likely be completed in house but this cannot be fully determined until the current Natural Heritage Systems Study has been completed.

6. **Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2016-2020 Capital Plan:**

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Gross	\$4,600	\$4,600	\$4,600	\$4,600	\$54,600	\$73,000
Net	\$4,600	\$4,600	\$4,600	\$4,600	\$4,600	\$23,000

7. **Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):**

See above note.

8. **Identify Sources and Amounts of Funding**

	From Reserve - Development Charges Reserve	From Reserve - General Planning	To Reserve - Planning Studies
2017	\$0	\$0	\$0

2018	\$0	\$0	\$0
2019	\$0	\$0	\$0
2020	\$0	\$0	\$0
2021	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$0	\$0	\$0

9. Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):

Action Item 2.10 of the County Strategic Plan indicates that the County should continue to manage and direct growth through the creation and application of sound land use planning principles. Action Item 3.5 states that the County should protect and encourage natural and cultural heritage resources and landscapes, including those that offer scenic value and habitat preservation. The Natural Heritage Study will help the County achieve the County Strategic Plan action items identified above. Section 2.8.6(8) of the Official Plan indicates that the County may undertake a Natural Heritage Study with the assistance from local municipalities and/or interested partners. As the Province updates its planning documents (PPS) the County is required to update the County Official Plan.

10. Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):

See above note.

1. **Department / Function: Planning & Development**

Details of Project/Study: **Planning Application - Tracking Software**

2. **Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$75,000**

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
	\$75,000		

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2017-2021 Program:

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. **Estimated Useful Life: 10 to 15 years**

4. **Location of Project/Study (if applicable):**

Facility Name / Address	Municipality
County of Grey	

5. **Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):**

The IT Strategic Plan identified that the Corporate system known as Grey Information Networks (GIN) should be replaced with an interim solution in 2011 and a long-term solution in 2013. GIN is currently used to: track planning application information; manage the County's civic addressing data; and connect MPAC data to the parcel mapping. The system is built on dated technology and therefore it is necessary to replace the system with newer technology. The planning application tracking software would: • Track, record and report on development applications; • Manage and record the development consultation process with other municipalities and agencies; • Manage addressing and land management processes; and, • Integrate with the County's GIS and Greydocs systems.

It is anticipated that the planning application tracking software will allow staff to better track the progress of applications which should lead to efficiencies with respect to processing and reviewing applications. By tracking planning applications through a shared system it could lead to savings in data tracking and collaboration. This project has been delayed due to other competing priorities. In 2015 an RFI was issued and based on the information received both IT and Planning staff agreed that an in-house solution was the best solution for the County. With the new document management system, there will be a lot of synergies between the PATS and the document management system as well as the County GIS website.

6. **Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2016-2020 Capital Plan: 2015 Project being carried over 2016**

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

The technology that GIN is built on is no longer supported and therefore if GIN were to fail, staff would no longer be able to track planning application information or update civic address data. By not replacing the GIN system, it could lead to issues with tracking planning applications which could lead to delays in processing applications. It is important to keep civic addresses current to ensure that emergency personnel can respond to a location in a timely manner.

8. Identify Sources and Amounts of Funding

	From Reserve - Planning General	From Reserve - Development Charges
2017	\$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0
2019	\$0	\$0
2020	\$0	\$0
2021	\$0	\$0
Total	\$0	\$0

9. Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):

Replacement of GIN with a long-term solution was recommended in the IT Strategic Plan.

10. Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):

There will be on-going maintenance required to the system in order to keep it current. If the system is not updated it could lead to delays in processing planning applications which could lead to appeals to the Ontario Municipal Board. It is anticipated that the costs for this project will be mostly paid for by development charges

1. **Department / Function: Planning & Development**

Details of Project/Study: **Sourcewater Protection**

2. **Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$43,729**

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
			\$43,729

Funding received from the Provincial Government to cover the costs associated with implementing the Sourcewater Protection Plans.

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2017-2021 Program:

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. **Estimated Useful Life:**

4. **Location of Project/Study (if applicable):**

Facility Name / Address	Municipality

5. **Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):**

Municipalities are required to implement the Sourcewater Protection Plans once approved by the Province. Funding has been provided to municipalities to implement the policies in the Plans.

6. **Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2016-2020 Capital Plan:** Carry forward from 2015 budget

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. **Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):**

The intent of Sourcewater Protection Plans is to develop policies to protect municipal drinking water sources. By not implementing the Plans, measures to protect drinking water sources will not occur which could lead to future wellhead protection areas becoming contaminated.

8. **Identify Sources and Amounts of Funding**

	Fed/Prov Grants
2017	\$0
2018	\$0
2019	\$0
2020	\$0
2021	\$0
Total	\$0

9. Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):

Action Item 2.10 of the County Strategic Plan indicates that the County should continue to manage and direct growth through the creation and application of sound land use planning principles. Implementing the Sourcewater Protection Plans will assist with achieving the above noted Strategic Plan Action Item.

10. Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):



**CORPORATION OF THE COUNTY OF GREY
2017-2021 CAPITAL PROJECT FORM**

1. Department / Function: Planning & Development

Details of Project/Study: Sourcewater Protection Collaboration

2. Total Gross Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study: \$177,000

Construction	Consultant/Contractor	Equipment	Other (Specify)
	\$177,000		

Partnership Project between Bruce County, Grey County and local municipalities in both Grey and Bruce to create an 'RMO/RMO In-a-Box' document templates as well as a Property Tracking System to assist with the implementation of Sourcewater Protection Plans.

Cost of Proposed Capital Project/Study in 2017-2021 Program:

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

3. Estimated Useful Life:

4. Location of Project/Study (if applicable):

Facility Name / Address	Municipality

5. Need or Benefit(s) of Project (including safety issues):

Municipalities are required to implement the Sourcewater Protection Plans once approved by the Province. Funding has been provided to municipalities to implement the policies in the Plans. This collaboration project will assist local municipalities with the implementation of sourcewater protection plans by creating document templates that the Risk Management Officials (RMO's) will require as well as creating a Property Tracking System so the RMO's can track items such as Risk Management Plans, etc.

6. Scheduling and Cost of Project/Study in 2016-2020 Capital Plan: Carry forward from 2015 Budget

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Gross	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

7. Consequences/Implications of Not Undertaking Project (including alternatives):

The intent of Sourcewater Protection Plans is to develop policies to protect municipal drinking water sources. By not implementing the Plans, measures to protect drinking water sources will not occur which could lead to future wellhead protection areas becoming contaminated.

8. Identify Sources and Amounts of Funding

	Fed/Prov Grants	Partners - Lower Tier Municipalities	Partners - Bruce County
2017	\$0	\$0	\$0
2018	\$0	\$0	\$0
2019	\$0	\$0	\$0
2020	\$0	\$0	\$0
2021	\$0	\$0	\$0

Total	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
--------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

9. **Compliance with Council objective/strategic plan (if applicable):**

10. **Ongoing Financial/Staffing/Legal/IT Implications (if applicable):**