

# Committee Report

## Report TR-TAPS-13-16

**To**: Chair Bell and Members of the Transportation and Public Safety

Committee

**From**: M.J. Kelly, Director of Transportation Services

**Meeting Date:** February 18, 2016

**Subject:** Grey Roads 8 and 9 Reconstruction

**Status**: Recommendation adopted by Committee as presented per Resolution

TAPS31-16; Endorsed by County Council March 1, 2016 per

Resolution CC37-16;

### Recommendation(s)

WHEREAS Grey Road 8, from Highway 89 to Grey Road 9, has surface defects that justify reconstruction of the road in 2016;

AND WHEREAS Grey Road 8 is scheduled for reconstruction in 2017, as indicated in the five year program;

AND WHEREAS it is anticipated that at least \$150,000 will be required to maintain Grey Road 8 to an acceptable standard until 2017;

AND WHEREAS a section of Grey Road 9, from Grey Road 8 to Southgate SRD 21, is in poor condition and has been combined with the Grey Road 8 reconstruction project for efficiency reasons;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Report TR-TAPS-13-16 regarding pulverizing and paving Grey Roads 8 and 9 in 2016 be received;

AND THAT the Transportation and Public Safety Committee approve the reconstruction of Grey Road 8, from Highway 89 to Grey Road 9, and Grey Road 9, from Grey Road 8 to Southgate SRD 21, in 2016 and this project (\$2,195,600) be funded from Development Charges and the Transportation Services General Reserve.

### Background

The five year capital construction program included pulverizing and paving Grey Road 8, from Highway 89 to Grey Road 9 (12.2 kilometres), and Grey Road 9, from Grey Road 8 westerly to Southgate SRD 21 (2.0 kilometres) in 2017.

TR-TAPS-13-16 1 February 18, 2016

The majority of Grey Road 8 is topped with surface treatment. The surface treatment is potholing and deteriorating at the edges more quickly than anticipated. As a result, it is expected that it will require at least \$150,000 to maintain the road until 2017.

This section of Grey Road 9 has a hot mix surface, which has some distortions and surface defects that could be maintained until 2017 at minimal cost.

The Grey Road 8 and 9 works have been combined for efficiency reasons, but could be divided into two separate projects and completed in two different years.

The following are some facts regarding these roads:

#### Grey Road 8:

- Daily Traffic Volume is 600
- Daily Truck Traffic is 75, which is 12% of traffic
- Not included on Paved Shoulder Map
- Not Included on Grey County Cycling Route Map
- Proposed cross-section:
  - Lane width is 3.25 metres
  - Paved Shoulder is 0.25 metres
  - Shoulder Width is 1.75 metres
- Estimated cost of reconstruction is \$1,744,600

#### Grey Road 9:

- Daily Traffic Volume is 2650
- Daily Truck Traffic is 425, which is 16% of traffic
- Not included on Paved Shoulder Map
- Not Included on Grey County Cycling Route
- Proposed cross-section:
  - Lane width is 3.5 metres
  - Paved shoulder is 0.25 metres
  - Shoulder width is 2.0 metres
- Estimated cost of reconstruction is \$451,000

## Financial / Staffing / Legal / Information Technology

### Considerations

The surface of Grey Road 8 is in poor condition and will require at least \$150,000 of maintenance if it is not resurfaced until 2017. The estimated cost to complete Grey Road 8 is \$1,744,600 and it is eligible for \$528,600 of Development Charges. The Grey Road 9 project is estimated to cost \$451,000. This project can be funded by the Transportation Services General Reserve.

## Link to Strategic Goals / Priorities

The County of Grey's Corporate Strategic Plan lists Fiscal Responsibility; to use resources wisely and invest responsibly in the future is one of the five core values of the Corporation of the County of Grey.

## Attachments

Location Map

Respectfully submitted by,

M.J. Kelly Director of Transportation Services

