

## Report TR-TAPS-20-14

**To:** Chair Barfoot and Members of the Transportation and Public Safety Committee  
**From:** Pat Hoy, Engineering Manager and Sharon Melville, Buyer  
**Meeting Date:** March 20, 2014  
**Subject:** Award of RFT-TS-04-14 Grey Roads 9 and 16 Pulverizing and Hot Mix Paving  
**Status:** Recommendation adopted by Committee as presented per Resolution TAPS40-14; Endorsed by County Council April 1, 2014 per Resolution CC52-14;

### Recommendation(s)

**WHEREAS** the 2014 budget for Grey Road 16 Pulverize and Pave Rehabilitation was \$730,584, which consists of design, tendering, construction, inspection and quality assurance;

**AND WHEREAS** the 2014 budget for Grey Road 9 Pulverize and Pave Rehabilitation was \$347,875, which consists of design, tendering, construction, inspection and quality assurance;

**AND WHEREAS** RFT-TS-04-14 for Grey Roads 9 and 16 Pulverizing and Hot Mix Paving was issued on Friday, January 24, 2014 and closed on Thursday, February 13, 2014;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** TR-TAPS-20-14, being a report containing the tender results for RFT-TS-04-14, be received;

**AND THAT** Schedule A of RFT-TS-04-14 be awarded to E.C. King Contracting, for a bid price of \$533,943 excluding HST;

**AND THAT** Schedule B of RFT-TS-04-14 be awarded to The Murray Group Limited, for a bid price of \$344,700.94 excluding HST;

**AND THAT** 10% contingency and 5% contract administration and quality assurance, be added to the total project expenditure for a revised project cost to the County of Grey of \$624,800 for Schedule A and \$403,400 for Schedule B, net HST;

**AND FURTHER THAT the Schedule B shortfall be funded from Schedule A surplus funds.**

## Background

The Tender for the Pulverizing and Hot Mix Paving of Grey Road 16 and Grey Road 9 was posted to the County Website from Friday January 24, 2014 until Thursday February 13, 2014 (closing date).

The tender included two (2) schedules. The bid summary is listed below:

RFT-TS-04-14 - Schedule A – Grey Road 16 Pulverizing and Hot Mix Paving (5.13 kms)

Name of Bidder	Total Bid Amount (excluding taxes)
<b>E.C. King Contracting</b>	<b>\$533,943.00</b>
Harold Sutherland Construction Ltd.	\$626,093.60
Cox Construction Limited	\$689,436.25
Aecon Construction and Materials Ltd.	\$791,627.50

It is recommended that Schedule A be awarded to E.C. King Limited in the amount of \$533,943.00.

RFT-TS-04-14 - Schedule B – Grey Road 9 Pulverizing and Hot Mix Paving (2.52 kms)

Name of Bidder	Total Bid Amount (excluding taxes)
<b>The Murray Group Limited</b>	<b>\$344,700.94</b>
Harold Sutherland Construction Ltd.	\$399,909.45
Cox Construction Limited	\$405,517.70
Aecon Construction and Materials Ltd.	\$410,913.40

The Grey Road 9 rehabilitation was originally budgeted to consist of 2.52 kms of pulverizing and 50 mm of paving. However, once the project was reviewed in the field it was determined that a portion of the project did not need to be pulverized before surface pavement was placed and in addition, the remainder of the project will receive two (2) lifts of asphalt rather than one (1). This resulted in the job to exceeding the original budget.

It is recommended that Schedule B be awarded to The Murray Group in the amount of \$344,700.94.

## Financial / Staffing / Legal / Information Technology Considerations

Schedule A – Grey Road 16, will be awarded to EC King Contracting for the amount of \$543,340.39 net HST. It is anticipated that the additional engineering costs will be approximately five percent (5%) of the tender value or \$27,200 and the contingency costs will be approximately ten percent (10%) of the tender value or \$54,300. As a result, the total projected cost for this project is expected to be approximately \$624,800. Therefore this project is anticipated to be approximately \$106,000 under the budget of \$730,584.

Schedule B – Grey Road 9, will be awarded to the Murray Group for \$350,767.67 net HST. It is anticipated that the additional engineering costs will be approximately five percent (5%) of the tender value or \$17,500 and the contingency costs will be approximately ten percent (10%) of the tender value or \$35,100. As a result, the total projected cost for this project is expected to be approximately \$403,400. Therefore this project is anticipated to be approximately \$56,000 over the budget of \$347,875.

The \$56,000 over expenditure for the Grey Road 9 work can be accommodated by the \$106,000 under expenditure for the Grey Road 16 project. This will result in a surplus of \$50,000.

### Link to Strategic Goals / Priorities

The Values Statement of the approved Corporate Strategic Plan includes fiscal responsibility. Consistent application of the purchasing policy requirements focuses on the commitment to ensure effective and efficient processes, which offer prudent use of public funds.

Respectfully submitted,

Patrick Hoy  
Engineering Manager

Sharon Melville  
Buyer

Director Sign Off: *Michael Kelly, Director of Transportation Services*